

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIANOTICE OF DOCUMENT DISCREPANCIES

FILED

08 This space for
Clerk's Office File Stamp 1:23

TO: U. S. DISTRICT JUDGE / U. S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE Larry A. Burns
 FROM: E. Lloyd, Deputy Clerk RECEIVED DATE: 5/28/08 Eq
 CASE NO.: 08cv0441 DOCUMENT FILED BY: Lantz Arnell DEPUTY
 CASE TITLE: Arnell v. Jack Lieb Esq. & Ass. Et al
 DOCUMENT ENTITLED: Memorandum of Points and Authorities in Opposition to Motion to Dismiss

Upon the submission of the attached document(s), the following discrepancies are noted:

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Local Rule	Discrepancy
<input type="checkbox"/> 5.1	Missing time and date on motion and/or supporting documentation
<input type="checkbox"/> 5.3	Document illegible or submitted on thermal facsimile paper
<input type="checkbox"/> 5.4	Document not filed electronically. Notice of Noncompliance already issued.
<input type="checkbox"/> 7.1 or 47.1	Date noticed for hearing not in compliance with rules/Document(s) are not timely
<input type="checkbox"/> 7.1 or 47.1	Lacking memorandum of points and authorities in support as a separate document
<input type="checkbox"/> 7.1 or 47.1	Briefs or memoranda exceed length restrictions
<input type="checkbox"/> 7.1	Missing table of contents
<input type="checkbox"/> 15.1	Amended pleading not complete in itself
<input type="checkbox"/> 30.1	Depositions not accepted absent a court order
<input type="checkbox"/>	Supplemental documents require court order
<input type="checkbox"/>	Default Judgment in sum certain includes calculated interest
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> X	<u>OTHER: Opposition already on file</u>

File as Amended Response in Opposition to Motion to Dismiss (amending dkt. no. 12.)

Date forwarded: 6/2/08

ORDER OF THE JUDGE / MAGISTRATE JUDGEIT IS HEREBY ORDERED:

The document is to be filed nunc pro tunc to date received.

The document is NOT to be filed, but instead REJECTED. and it is ORDERED that the Clerk serve a copy of this order on all parties.

Rejected document to be returned to pro se or inmate? Yes. Court Copy retained by chambers

Counsel is advised that any further failure to comply with the Local Rules may lead to penalties pursuant to Local Rule 83.1

LARRY ALAN BURNS
U.S. DISTRICT JUDGE

CHAMBERS OF:

By: MDM/LC

Dated: 6/2/08
cc: All Parties